

SIMPLE PROOF OF THE EXISTENCE OF RESTRICTED RAMSEY GRAPHS BY MEANS OF A PARTITE CONSTRUCTION

Jaroslav NEŠETŘIL

KZAA MFF KU, Charles University,
Sokolovská 83, 18 600 Praha 8,
Czechoslovakia

and

Vojtěch RÖDL

KM FJFI CVUT, Czech Technical Univ.
Husova 5, 11 000 Praha 1, Czechoslovakia

Received 16 July 1979

By means of a partite construction we present a short proof of the Galvin Ramsey property of the class of all finite graphs and of its strengthening proved in [5]. We also establish a generalization of those results. Further we show that for every positive integer m there exists a graph H which is Ramsey for K_m and does not contain two copies of K_m with more than two vertices in common.

1. Introduction

The following generalization of the well-known theorem of Ramsey [10] was proved independently by Erdős, Hajnal and Pósa [2], Deuber [1] and Rödl [11].

Theorem A. *Given a finite graph $G=(V, E)$ there exists a graph $R(G)=(W, F)$ such that for every partition $F=F_1 \cup F_2$ there are $V' \subset W$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that if we denote $E'=\{e \in F: e \subset V'\}$ then (V', E') is isomorphic to (V, E) and $E' \subset F_i$.*

In the following we shall call such a graph $R(G)$ a *Ramsey graph for G* . Theorem A was strengthened in [5], extending an earlier result of J. Folkman [4] as follows:

Theorem B. *For every graph G there exists a Ramsey graph such that $\text{cl}(G)=\text{cl}(H)$.*

(Here $\text{cl}(G)$ and $\text{cl}(H)$ denotes the size of a *maximal clique* in G and H respectively.)

The original proofs of Theorems A and B were not easy. A relatively simple proof of the Theorem A was given in [7]. The purpose of this paper is to introduce a method by means of which both above theorems can be proved quite easily. We also give a solution to a question of P. Erdős [3] (see Theorem C below) and we prove Theorem D which extends both Theorems B and C.

Theorem C. *Let $m \geq 3$ be a fixed positive integer. Then there exists a Ramsey graph H for K_m such that any two subgraphs K, K' of H isomorphic to K_m intersect in at most two points.*

Let \mathcal{F} be a set of graphs. Put $\text{Forb}(\mathcal{F}) = \{H: H \text{ fails to be an induced subgraph of } F \text{ for any } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Theorem D. *Let \mathcal{F} be a set of 3-chromatically connected graphs, (i.e. the subgraph of F induced on any vertex cut set has chromatic number ≥ 3 for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$). Then for every $G \in \text{Forb}(\mathcal{F})$ there exists a Ramsey graph $H \in \text{Forb}(\mathcal{F})$.*

Our method uses only amalgamation of graphs and is a refinement of [6]. It is presented here in its simplest form. Further applications of this method may be found in the survey papers [8] and [9].

2. Basic notions and notation

By a graph we shall understand a couple $G = (V, E)$ where $V = V(G)$ is a set of vertices and $E = E(G) \subset [V]^2$ is a set of edges. (The symbol $[V]^k$ denotes the set of all k -element subsets of V).

In our construction the following notions are important. Let $(V_i)_{i=1}^r$ be a system of pairwise disjoint sets and let $E \subset \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^r V_i \right]^2$ such that $E \cap [V_i]^2 = \emptyset$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then the couple $G = ((V_i)_{i=1}^r, E)$ is called an r -partite graph. It will be convenient to write $V_i = V_i(G)$. Let $G = ((V_i)_{i=1}^r, E)$, $H = ((W_i)_{i=1}^r, F)$ be two r -partite graphs. We say that G is an induced subgraph of H if $V_i \subset W_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and the graph $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r V_i, E \right)$ is an induced subgraph of $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r W_i, F \right)$. We shall denote this relation by $G \leq H$.

3. The Bipartite Lemma

In the proof we shall use the following:

Lemma. *For every bipartite graph $B = (V_1, V_2, E)$ there exists a Ramsey bipartite graph $R(B) = (W_1, W_2, F)$, i.e. the following holds: For every partition $F = F_1 \cup F_2$ there exist $V'_1 \subset W_1$, $V'_2 \subset W_2$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that if we denote $E' = \{e \in F: e \subseteq V'_1 \cup V'_2\}$ then $E' \subseteq F_i$ and (V'_1, V'_2, E') is isomorphic to (V_1, V_2, E) .*

This Lemma is folklore. For the sake of completeness we include a simple proof. Let $|V_1| = k_1$, $|V_2| = k_2$. It is easy to see that (V_1, V_2, E) is an induced subgraph of $(X, [X]^{k_1}, \bar{E})$, $|X| \geq k_2 + 2k_1$, where

$$\{x, A\} \in \bar{E} \quad \text{iff} \quad x \in A.$$

Consequently we may assume $(V_1, V_2, E) = (X, [X]^{k_1}, \bar{E})$ without loss of generality.

Put $k = 2(k_1 - 1) + 1$ and let Y be a set with cardinality at least $r\left(k, 2\binom{k}{k_1}, k|X|\right)$ where $r(a, b, c)$ is the Ramsey number for the partition of a -tuples into b parts with homogenous c -set. Let $W_1 = Y$, $W_2 = [Y]^k$ and $F = \{\{y, K\}, y \in Y \text{ and } K \in [Y]^k\}$. Using Ramsey's theorem it can be shown easily that the bipartite graph (W_1, W_2, F) has the desired properties. ■

4. Proof of Theorem A — a partite construction

Let G be a graph with m vertices and let $H=K_r$ (the complete graph with r vertices), where $r=r(2, 2, m)$.

For each $A \in [V(H)]^m$ fix one graph with vertex set A , isomorphic to G . Let $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{\binom{r}{m}}\}$ be a system of all such graphs. Put

$$V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$$

$$E(H) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_R\}, \text{ where } R = \binom{r}{2}.$$

Define inductively an r -partite graph P^n for all $n \leq R$, as follows:

$$P^0 = ((V_i^0)_{i=1}^r, E^0)$$

where

$$V_i^0 = \{(v_i, j), j \leq R\}$$

and $\{(v_i, j), (v_i, j')\} \in E^0$ if and only if $j=j'$ and $\{v_i, v_{i'}\} \in E(G_j)$. Suppose we have defined the r -partite graph $P^n = ((V_i^n)_{i=1}^r, E^n)$. Put $e_{n+1} = \{v_{x_1}, v_{x_2}\}$ and let B be a bipartite subgraph of P^n induced on a set $V_{x_1}^n \cup V_{x_2}^n$. Let $R(B)$ be a bipartite graph which is Ramsey for B (the existence of which is ensured by the Lemma). Denote by q the number of induced subgraphs of $R(B)$ which are isomorphic to B . Explicitly, let B_1, B_2, \dots, B_q be all the induced subgraphs of $R(B)$ which are isomorphic to B . For each $i \leq q$ let $\varphi_i: B_i \rightarrow B$ be the natural inclusion. Put

$$V(P^{n+1}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r V_i^{n+1}$$

where $V_i^{n+1} = \bigcup_{j \leq q} (V_i^n \times \{j\})$ for $i \neq x_1, x_2$; $V_{x_i}^{n+1} = V_i(R(B))$ for $i=1, 2$. Denote by $\psi_j: V(P^n) \rightarrow V(P^{n+1})$ the 1—1 mapping defined by

$$\psi_j(v) = \varphi_j(v) \quad \text{for } v \in V(B),$$

$$\psi_j(v) = (v, j) \quad \text{for } v \notin V(B).$$

We say $\{v_1, v_2\} \in E(P^{n+1})$ if and only if there exist $j \leq q$ and $\{u_1, u_2\} \in E(P^n)$ such that $v_1 = \psi_1(u_1)$ and $v_2 = \psi_2(u_2)$. We introduce the following notation. Let $e \in E(P^R)$. We say that the edge $e' = \{i, j\}$ is a projection of the edge e if $|e \cap V_i| = 1$ and $|e \cap V_j| = 1$.

Fact. P^R is Ramsey for G .

Proof. The following holds:

$$(*) \quad P^0 \xrightarrow{e_1} P^1 \xrightarrow{e_2} \dots \xrightarrow{e_s} P^s,$$

where the symbol $P^n \xrightarrow{e_{n+1}} P^{n+1}$ denotes the following: if we split the edges of P^{n+1} with projection e_{n+1} into two parts then there exists an r -partite graph \bar{P}^n , $\bar{P}^n \leq P^{n+1}$ isomorphic to P^n such that all edges of \bar{P}^n with projection e_{n+1} are in one of the classes of the partition. From $(*)$ (using backward induction from R to 1) it follows that the graph $P^R = ((V_i^R)_{i=1}^r, E)$ has the following property: For every partition

$E^R = E_1 \cup E_2$ there exists $\bar{P}^0 \simeq P^0$, $\bar{P}^0 \leq P^R$ such that any two edges of P^0 with the same projection are in the same partition class. Using the construction of P^0 it follows that there exists an induced subgraph of P^R isomorphic to G with all edges belonging to one of the classes of the partition. ■

5. Corollaries

The following are easy corollaries of the above construction.

Proof of Theorem B. Clearly $\text{cl}(G) = \text{cl}(P^0)$ and $\text{cl}(P^i) = \text{cl}(P^{i+1})$ for every $i < \binom{r}{2}$ and hence $\text{cl}(G) = \text{cl}(P^R)$. ■

Proof of Theorem C. Apply the above partite construction for $G = K_m$. Clearly P^0 does not contain two graphs K, K' isomorphic to K_m intersecting in more than two vertices. Moreover if P^i does not contain two graphs isomorphic to K_m intersecting in more than two vertices then P^{i+1} also has this property, as every triangle (i.e. K_3) in P^{i+1} belongs to exactly one copy of P^i . ■

Proof of Theorem D. Apply the above construction. Observe that $P^i \in \text{Forb}(\mathcal{F})$ implies $P^{i+1} \in \text{Forb}(\mathcal{F})$. ■

References

- [1] W. DEUBER, Generalizations of Ramsey's theorem, in: *Infinite and Finite Sets* (A. Hajnal et al. eds.), *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis J. Bolyai* **10**, North-Holland (1975), 323—332.
- [2] P. ERDŐS, A. HAJNAL and L. PÓSA, Strong embeddings of graphs into colored graphs, in: *Infinite and finite sets* (A. Hajnal et al., eds.) *Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai* **10**, North-Holland (1975), 1127—1132.
- [3] P. ERDŐS, Problems and results on finite and infinite graphs, in: *Recent advances in graph theory*, Academia Praha (1975), 183—192.
- [4] J. FOLKMAN, Graphs with monochromatic complete subgraphs in every edge coloring, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **18** (1970), 19—29.
- [5] J. NEŠETŘIL and V. RÖDL, The Ramsey property for graphs with forbidden complete subgraphs, *J. Comb. Theory B* **20** (1976), 243—249.
- [6] J. NEŠETŘIL and V. RÖDL, A short constructive proof of the existence of highly chromatic graphs without short cycles, *J. Comb. Theory B* **27** (1979) 225—227.
- [7] J. NEŠETŘIL and V. RÖDL, A simple proof of the Galvin Ramsey property of the class of all finite graphs and a dimension of a graph, *Discrete Mathematics* **23** (1978), 49—55.
- [8] J. NEŠETŘIL and V. RÖDL, Partition (Ramsey) theory — a survey, in: *Combinatorics* (A. Hajnal and Vera T. Sós, eds.) *Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai* **18**, North-Holland (1978), 759—792.
- [9] J. NEŠETŘIL and V. RÖDL, Partition theory and its application, in: *Surveys in Combinatorics*, (B. Bollobás, ed.) *London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes* **38**, Cambridge Univ. Press 1979, 96—156.
- [10] F. P. RAMSEY, On a problem of formal logic, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **30** (1930), 264—286.
- [11] V. RÖDL, A generalization of Ramsey theorem, in: *Graphs, Hypergraphs and Block Systems*, Zielona Gora (1976), 211—220.